

20 December 1976

25X1

MEMORANDUM FOR:

OPP/PPD

25X1

FROM

:

Executive Officer, DCI/NI

SUBJECT

: National Intelligence Staff Reactions to
NFIB Suggestions for Amendments in DCI's
Perspectives

1. The following comments are geared to the suggested amendments appended to the draft Perspectives you left with me last week.

Part I paragraph 2, subparagraph 2: We cannot accept the first two changes suggested by the Air Force but we can accept the third.

Part I paragraph 2, subparagraph 4: We cannot accept either of these suggested Air Force amendments. They were either already covered or contradict NIE 11-3/8-76.

Part I paragraph 2, subparagraph 6: We accept the Treasury's suggestion.

Page 5 second and third paragraphs: We accept Treasury's suggestions.

Page 5 fourth paragraph, last line: We do not agree to Treasury's suggestion. Collection on Western Europe could be improved but selectively; we could do with less collection in some respects. We would, therefore, amend the sentence to read: "...imply need for more innovative intelligence analysis and, on certain selected targets, improved collection."

25X1

MORI/CDF Pages 1-3

S E C R E T

Page 6 first sentence: We can agree to Treasury's suggested change.

Page 6 second paragraph: We, like you, cannot accept Treasury's amendment. We would, however, attempt to accommodate Treasury with the following amendment: "Prospects for closer association of Spain and Portugal with the EC will improve if and as both countries improve their handling of their serious economic problems and strengthen their democratic credentials."

Part I paragraph 4: We concur in both suggested changes.

Part I paragraph 5: Concur in the change.

Page 8 new paragraph 10: We would prefer to leave the text as it originally stood but we could accept the change as amended by the IC Staff.

Page 8 old paragraph 10: We can accept ERDA's comment, but we believe it could be improved with some editing.

Page 9 paragraph 11: Concur in the change.

Page 10 paragraph 16: Thank you for taking our change.

Page 12 paragraph 3: We can concur with Treasury's addition; we cannot accept the Air Force's suggested change because it is misleading, premature, and unnecessary.

Page 12 new paragraph 4 and 5: We cannot accept these suggested additions.

Page 12 paragraph 6: Concur as amended: "Intelligence about nuclear proliferation must provide decision-makers with a significantly better integrated understanding of foreign nuclear proliferation-related activities and their implications. The Intelligence Community must provide data on worldwide stocks and flows of plutonium and analyses of foreign nuclear explosive device and weapon development programs. The Community should also assess foreign intentions concerning safeguards and the international transfer of nuclear technology and materials and, where applicable, monitor compliance with related political agreements."

Page 13 paragraph 6: Concur.

Page 14 paragraph 7, subparagraph a: Concur.

Page 15: Concur to both changes.

2. The National Intelligence staff, for what it's worth, agrees completely with the IC Staff's reactions to NFIB suggested changes in Part III.

25X1



Distribution:

Original - Addressee

1 - D/DCI/NI


1 - A/EO/DCI/NI

1 - EO/DCI/NI Chrono

① - File: Perspectives

1 - RI

14 DEC 1976



STAT

14 December 1976

NOTE FOR:

NIO/USR

The attached is the latest draft of DCI Perspectives with attached comments from NFIB agencies. May I please have your reactions to those comments by COB Thursday, 16 December.

Mark

*Join-
1st 3 are own's
1st 2 are AF
pts from 11-4, 11-3/8 etc.*